

Weed Management Practices in Annual Cropping Systems in the Prairie Provinces ratio

Julia Y. Leeson Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Saskatoon, SK

Introduction

Producers in the Prairie Provinces have been advised to adopt various weed management practices to delay the development and spread of herbicide resistant weed biotypes. This poster documents the current use of chemical, physical and cultural weed control practices in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba.

Chemical Weed Control

Herbicide Use for Surveyed Crop

100

80

dS



Excerpts from 2017

Data Source

Data are from weed management questionnaires distributed in conjunction with the provincial weed surveys of spring-seeded cereal, oilseed and pulse crops conducted in 2017 in Alberta, 2016 in Manitoba and 2014/15 in Saskatchewan (306, 106, and 685 responses to date, respectively). Organic producers are not included in the analysis. Data are weighted for non-response.

g

with

farms

of

Per

27

14

10

60

20



60

20

of

cent

Per



98% of the fields received at least one herbicide application in the cropping season leading up to the weed survey. Use of multiple herbicide groups within a cropping season is a measure of herbicide group rotation (sequence), related to delayed development of resistance. 18% of fields had only one herbicide group applied in the cropping season.

Physical Weed Control

100

80

60

40

20

100

of fields 09 08

40 Bercent

Of

farms

of

cent

Per

Tillage in Surveyed Field



22 27 15 Herbicide Group ■ 1 ■ 2 ■ 3 ■ 4 ■ 5 ■ 6 ■ 7 ■ 8 ■ 9 ■ 10 ■ 11 ■ 12 ■ 13 to 19

Herbicide rotation is recommended to reduce repeated use of individual herbicide groups and the risk of developing resistance. Weeds have a high risk of developing resistance to Group 1 and 2 herbicides with fewer than 10 applications; but they are amongst the most frequently applied herbicides with 48% and 58% of the fields having applications at least every other year, respectively. While resistance to Group 9 herbicides may take more than 20 applications to develop, 66% of fields had Group 9 products applied more than once per year.

Tank mixing multiple effective herbicide groups can be used to delay the development of herbicide resistance. Groups that are both frequently used and rarely tank mixed with at least one additional effective group include Group 1 and Group 9.

Tank mix of: Multiple effective groups^{*} Multiple groups

Herbicide Group

* Applied with at least one other herbicide group that was equally effective on at least one target weed based on rates applied



Before

After

Before

After

After

Before





Due to concerns about soil erosion, tillage for weed control is not commonly practised in the drier areas of the Prairie Provinces. Still, 42% of fields surveyed reported at least one tillage pass for weed control and 27% of the fields had at least one tillage pass in the fall.

Cultural Weed Control





Planting different crops can facilitate herbicide group rotation. 73% of fields had at least

Planting crops with different life cycles can select for different weed species; however, most fields only had spring annual crops planted seven years in a row.

Most producers report rotating and selecting crops for weed

Most producers report enhancing crop competition through cultural control strategies, most commonly increasing seeding rates.

management.

Summary ¹

Producers report using diverse management strategies to manage weeds on their farms:

- 99% of fields used chemical weed control
- 83% of farms used physical weed control \bullet
- 99% of farms used cultural weed control

However, several individual physical and cultural weed control practices are rarely used, or, if they are, producers do not consider them very useful for weed management.

The potential of some widely adopted practices such as herbicide group rotation, tank mixing of multiple effective herbicide groups and crop rotations are not being fully realized.

Therefore, there is opportunity to increase understanding and adoption of several individual weed management practices with the potential to delay the development and spread of herbicide resistant weed biotypes.

Acknowledgments

The weed surveys were funded in part by the: Western Grains Research Foundation, Saskatchewan Growing Forward 2 bi-lateral agreement, Saskatchewan Pulse Growers, Alberta Wheat Commission, Alberta Pulse Growers Commission, Alberta Canola Producers Commission, Manitoba Agri-Research and Development Fund (GF2), Manitoba Agri-Research and Development Fund (GF2), Manitoba Vheat & Barley Growers, Manitoba Canola Growers, Manitoba Oat Growers Association, Manitoba Corn Growers Association, Manitoba Seed Growers Association of Canada. We would like to thank the producers who completed the management questionnaires.

