

# The Economic Impact of Canola on the Canadian Economy: 2020 Update

Report for:

## Canola Council of Canada Winnipeg, Canada

December 2020

#### LMC International

#### Oxford

#### **New York**

4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Clarendon House, 52 Cornmarket Street, Oxford OX1 3HJ 1350 Avenue of the Americas, Floor 2, New York, NY 10019, USA

t: +44 1865 791737, f: +44 1865 791739 t: +1 (212) 586-2427, f: +1 (212) 257-6441

inf

info@lmc-ny.com

#### Kuala Lumpur

SO-30-8, Menara 1, No.3 Jalan Bangsar, KL Eco City, 59200 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

t: + 60 3 2202 1414

info@lmc-kl.com

#### www.lmc.co.uk

info@lmc.co.uk

osc101n

## **Insights for agribusiness**

Specialist data and analysis for commercial decision-making



#### www.lmc.co.uk

While LMC International endeavours to ensure the accuracy of the data, estimates and forecasts contained in this study, any decisions based on them (including those involving investment and planning) are at the client's own risk. LMC International can accept no liability regarding information, analysis and forecasts contained in the study.

## Table of Contents

#### Contents

Introduction1
This study 1
Summary of Results 2
Part A. National Results – Overview
The direct effect of canola on the Canadian economy
How StatCan multipliers have been used in this study
Part B. Provincial Results – Overview 12
The direct effect of canola on Canadian provincial economies
Part C. Detailed Results – by Step in the Canola Value Chain 18
Seed development
Impact and methodology18
Canola farming
Impact
Canola farm family members
Impact and methodology22
Primary elevation
Impact
Seed delivery
Impact
Crushing
Impact
Refining

Impact
Biodiesel production
Impact
By-product delivery
Impact
Impact at ports
Impact
Benefits to livestock sector
Impact
Adding value to canola oil in food end uses 40
Impact
Bottling and packing 42
Impact

#### **List of Tables**

Table 1:	Canola economic impact assessment by value chain component	4
Table 2:	Direct economic impact of canola on the Canadian economy	7
Table 3:	Direct employment impact of canola on the Canadian economy	7
Table 4:	Direct wage impact of canola on the Canadian economy	7
Table 5:	Total economic impact of canola on the Canadian economy	9
Table 6:	Total employment impact of canola on the Canadian economy	9
Table 7:	Total wage impact of canola on the Canadian economy	9
Table 8:	National-level multipliers derived from StatCan input-output tables	11
Table 9:	Direct economic impact by province (C\$ million), average 2016/17– 2018/19	12
Table 10:	Direct employment impact by province (full time equivalent jobs), average 2016/17–2018/19	•
Table 11:	Direct wage impact by province (C\$ million), average 2016/17–2018/19.	
Table 12:	Total economic impact by province (C\$ million), average 2016/17-	
Table 12.	2018/19	
Table 13:	Total employment impact by province (full time equivalent jobs), average 2016/17–2018/19	
Table 14:	Total wage impact by province (C\$ million C\$), average 2016/17– 2018/19	17
Table 15:	Impact of the Canadian canola seed industry, average 2016/17–	±/
	2018/19	19
Table 16:	Impact of Canadian canola farming and production, average 2016/17–	
	2018/19	19
Table 17:	Number of hired workers dedicated to canola acreage	
Table 18:	Impact of canola farm family members, average 2016/17–2018/19	
Table 19:	Impact of primary canola elevation, average 2016/17–2018/19	
Table 20:	Impact of canola seed transportation, average 2016/17–2018/19	25
Table 21:	Impact of canola crushing, average 2016/17–2018/19	
Table 22:	Impact of canola refining, average 2016/17–2018/19	
Table 23:	Impact of biodiesel production from canola oil, average 2016/17–	
	2018/19	32
Table 24:	Impact of canola oil and meal distribution, average 2016/17–2018/19	34
Table 25:	Impact of canola seed, meal and oil at Canadian ports, average 2016/17-	-
	2018/19	
Table 26:	Impact of canola meal, average 2016/17–2018/19	39
Table 27:	Impact of canola oil end uses, average 2016/17–2018/19	
Table 28:	Impact of canola oil bottling & packing, average 2016/17–2018/19	43

### List of Diagrams

Direct effects of canola on the Canadian economy	6
Total effect of canola on the Canadian economy	8
Direct economic impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19	. 12
Direct employment impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19	. 13
Direct wage impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19	. 14
Total economic impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19	. 15
Total employment impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19	. 16
Total wage impact by province (C\$ billion), average 2016/17-2018/19	. 17
Canadian canola seed prices	. 20
Volumes of canola elevated	. 24
Estimated Canadian trucking rates	. 27
Trended range in Canadian rail rates	. 28
Canadian crushing value added	. 30
Canadian refining value added	. 31
Canola oil used in Canadian biodiesel production	33
Canola meal consumption	. 36
Dairy cow population	. 36
Hog population, by province	. 36
Poultry population, by province	. 36
Grain charges at Canadian ports	. 38
Canola meal savings relative to soy meal	. 40
Canadian wholesale milk price	. 40
Volumes of canola oil end uses	. 42
Prices of canola oil end uses	. 42
Unit import values of refined oils and bottled oils	. 44
	Direct effects of canola on the Canadian economy

### List of Figures

## Introduction

The Canola Council of Canada commissioned LMC International to undertake research to quantify the benefit of canola to the Canadian economy, in terms of:

- 1. Economic impact
- 2. Number of people dependent on the sector
- 3. Wages

This study provides the results of that independent analysis.

We focus specifically on the production of canola and canola products, spanning twelve steps in the value chain: from canola seed and trait development, through canola farming and processing, to the delivery of value added by-products to end users or ports of export. We also include the economic impact to the livestock sector of the benefits of using canola meal.

The results capture:

- 1. The *direct* benefit from these stages
- 2. The *indirect* benefit from the associated economic and market activities and industries
- 3. The *induced* benefit from household spending of the income earned from the canola sector.

The data are presented for *Direct* benefits and *Total* benefits (the sum of the direct, indirect and induced benefits above) for each stage, for each selected province in Canada and for Canada in aggregate.

The objective was to develop an up-to-date assessment, using:

- Official data as far as possible
- The latest data for 2018/19 and previous years (which are officially revised over time)
- Interviews with industry participants
- Best practice in estimating economic benefits.

#### This study

The analysis aims to update and enhance LMC's previous estimates on the same subject to provide the most accurate and independent assessment possible. To this end, we took guidance on past and current trends from industry participants, and applied the most recent *Statistics Canada* multipliers to arrive at our totals in each category.

We have incorporated the results of previous studies to illustrate the long-term trends of the sector. Our direct results for the earlier years are comparable to those in previous studies, with small changes reflecting revisions to official data. The total results reflect the government's most recent multipliers for each sector. We have added the bottling/packing sector to previous analyses to further clarify the benefits of canola to the Canadian economy.

Note: Value throughout the study is presented in **Canadian dollars**, whether noted as dollars, or with the symbols \$ or C\$, unless otherwise specified.

## Summary of Results

For the average of the three years, 2016/17-2018/19:

- The total *economic impact* on the Canadian economy from the canola sector averaged C\$29.9 billion per year (Table 5).
- 207,000 *full time equivalent jobs* are supported by the canola sector, comprising 144,000 paid jobs (Table 6) and an additional 63,000 family members (beyond the growers themselves) who support and are supported by canola farming operations.
- The total *wage impact* of the sector averaged C\$12.0 billion (Table 7).

The economic benefits from canola are higher when prices and volumes produced are higher. The impact peaked with both high prices and volumes in 2016/17. Since then, prices and volumes have eased only slightly, and canola's economic impact remains at substantial, historically high levels.

In the years since 2010/11:

- The total economic impact of the canola value chain has *increased by C\$7.2 billion or 35%.*
- The total employment impact of the sector has *increased by* 7%.
- The total wage impact of the sector has *increased 80%* with more jobs and higher wages.

## Part A. National Results – Overview

This study evaluates the impact along the value chain for canola via three different metrics:

- **Economic impact:** quantifies the <u>value added</u> to the Canadian economy by canola
- **Employment impact:** estimates the <u>number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs</u> contributed by the canola value chain in Canada
- Wage impact: evaluates the total wages for individuals employed in the value chain

We evaluate the Canadian canola value chain at twelve distinct steps (Tables 1A and B), tracing the impact through the value-added products of crude oil, refined oil and meal.

- For *refined canola oil*, our analysis ends at the point where this oil is either 1) processed into margarine, shortening and salad oil within Canada (our "end uses" sector); 2) loaded on a ship for overseas export; or 3) crosses from Canada into the United States for overland export.
- Our approach for *crude canola oil* is similar; however, the vast majority of crude oil used in Canada is not delivered to end users typically, it is refined first, whether into edible oil or biodiesel. The refiner or biodiesel producer is then treated as the *de facto* end user.
- Canola meal is treated largely in the same way as oil, but we extend our analysis one step further. For meal, we also 1) evaluate the cost savings for discrete livestock sectors vis-à-vis protein meal alternatives, and 2) estimate the value of additional milk yielded by Canadian cows fed a canola ration, given the unique benefits to dairy cattle.

The economic indicators for each step of the value chain are presented at two levels: *Direct effects* only, and *Total effects* (which is the sum of Direct, Indirect and Induced effects).

- Direct effects: the economic, employment and wage impacts that can be directly attributed to the canola value chain. These results are calculated by LMC based on models driven by publicly and privately available data, industry knowledge, and interviews with industry stakeholders.
- **Indirect effects:** the economic, employment and wage impacts created by those industries that supply the canola value chain, or by individuals who work at the periphery of the sector.
- **Induced effects:** the economic, employment and wage impacts that stem from household spending of the income earned from the canola sector.

Note: The <u>indirect</u> and <u>induced</u> effects of the canola sector are estimated based on input-output tables developed by Statistics Canada (StatCan). The use of these <u>multipliers</u> is discussed in greater detail later in the study.

The Canada-level results represent an aggregate sum of the results from the provincial level analysis. In this section of the report (Part A), our focus is on the national-level results, although we highlight provincial-level data where interesting. The most recent three-year averages of provincial-level results are presented in Part B of the report, which follows.

Step number	Value chain component	Description	Economic impact	Employment	Wages	Multiplie used
1	Seed development	Breeding of canola varieties, enhancement of canola genetic materials as well as the manufacture, distribution and sale of canola seed in Canada	captured in canola farming	yes	yes	yes
2a	Canola farming	Production of canola seed by farmers using land and agricultural inputs like seed, fertilizers and crop protection	yes	yes	yes	yes
2b	Farm family members	Unpaid family members who may indirectly support farm operation. Paid family members would be captured under step 2a	captured in canola farming	yes	captured in canola farming	no
3	Elevation	Primary elevation of canola seed	yes	yes	yes	yes
4	Seed delivery	Delivery of seed to crushing facility or point of export via truck, rail and barge	yes	yes	yes	yes
5	Crushing	Crushing canola seed for the manufacture of crude canola oil and canola meal	yes	yes	yes	yes
6	Refining	Refining crude canola oil for use in edible applications	yes	yes	yes	yes
7	Bottling and packing	Bottling and packing refined oil into any container for use as salad and cooking oil	yes	yes	yes	yes
8	Biodiesel production	Production of biodiesel using canola oil feedstock	yes	yes	yes	yes
9	By-product delivery	Delivery of crude oil, refined oil or meal to end user or point of export	yes	yes	yes	yes
10	Impact at ports	Loading ocean-going vessels for overseas export as well as laker vessels for shipments between Ontario and Quebec	yes	yes	yes	yes
11	Benefit to livestock sector	Cost savings associated with using canola meal relative to alternatives and the value of the dairy yield boost associated with canola meal	yes	no	no	yes
12	End users	Impact on major end use- products such as margarine, shortening and salad oil	yes	yes	yes	yes
*	International shipping	We have included estimates for international shipping for interest, however these have not been included in the Canada total given that they take place outside the country on vessels that are typically foreign-owned	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

#### Table 1: Canola economic impact assessment by value chain component



The Economic Impact of Canola on the Canadian Economy

Figure 1: Flow chart of the Canadian canola value chain assessed in this study

ы

#### The direct effect of canola on the Canadian economy

The *direct* impact of canola on the Canadian economy over the last ten years is illustrated in Diagram 1. This presents the aggregate results for the entire value chain according to our three separate measures: *economic impact, employment* and *wage impact*. The data for each measure, broken down by each stage in the value chain, are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

- Between 2016/17 and 2018/19, the direct economic impact of canola on the Canadian economy averaged C\$13.1 billion (Table 2). This value peaked in 2016/17 with high prices and high output volumes. Despite the small decline since, the average economic impact of canola is on an increasing trend, with the past three years representing the three highest years of direct economic impact of canola in our analysis.
- The *direct employment impact* across the canola value chain has been very steady in recent years. Between 2016/17 and 2018/19, the canola sector was *directly accountable* for an average of over 60,000 paying jobs (Table 3). When additional canola farm family members, who contribute to the overall success of the farming enterprise, are included, *the number of people directly supported by the canola industry over the same period increases to 123,000*.
- Between 2016/17 and 2018/19, the direct wage impact of canola on the Canadian economy averaged C\$3.8 billion (Table 4). This value is increasing steadily over time.



#### Diagram 1: Direct effects of canola on the Canadian economy

	2010/11	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Average 2016/17-18/19
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Canola farming	6.28	8.66	9.75	9.80	8.68	9.41
Elevation	0.20	0.27	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.27
Total seed delivery	0.45	0.81	0.92	0.96	0.92	0.93
Crushing	0.54	0.69	0.87	0.62	0.60	0.70
Refining	0.06	0.25	0.35	0.30	0.32	0.33
Bottling & packing	0.08	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11
Biodiesel production	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01
By-product delivery	0.12	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.18
Impact at ports	0.12	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.19	0.21
Total benefit to livestock sector	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.16
End uses	0.53	0.73	0.97	0.67	0.78	0.81
Direct Economic Impact	8.57	12.12	13.82	13.32	12.18	13.10

#### Table 2: Direct economic impact of canola on the Canadian economy (C\$ billion)

Note:

Economic impacts of seed development sector are captured under canola farming - see methodology.

#### Table 3: Direct employment impact of canola on the Canadian economy (thousand jobs)

	2010/11	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Average 2016/17-18/19
Seed development	0.57	0.61	0.60	0.60	0.61	0.60
Canola farming	48.37	49.51	48.98	50.23	49.54	49.58
Elevation	1.00	1.28	1.43	1.26	1.22	1.31
Total seed delivery	1.47	2.30	2.42	2.44	2.28	2.38
Crushing	0.47	0.49	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48
Refining	0.25	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.30
Bottling & packing	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.48	0.34	0.40
Biodiesel production	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05
By-product delivery	0.47	0.58	0.52	0.48	0.50	0.50
Impact at ports	0.66	0.91	1.02	1.01	0.89	0.97
Total benefit to livestock sector	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	3.37	3.46	3.45	4.30	3.10	3.61
Direct Employment Impact	57.02	59.87	59.62	61.65	59.32	60.20
Additional canola farm family members	68.19	65.33	64.24	63.16	62.07	63.16
Direct Employment (with farm family)	125.21	125.20	123.87	124.81	121.39	123.36

#### Table 4: Direct wage impact of canola on the Canadian economy (billion C\$)

	2010/11	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Average 2016/17-18/19
Seed development	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Canola farming	1.65	2.53	2.80	3.29	3.16	3.09
Elevation	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08
Total seed delivery	0.10	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.19
Crushing	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Refining	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Bottling & packing	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03
Biodiesel production	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
By-product delivery	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Impact at ports	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
Total benefit to livestock sector	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.24	0.18	0.20
Direct Wage Impact	2.16	3.24	3.50	4.04	3.85	3.80

## The total impact of canola on the Canadian economy (direct + indirect + induced effects)

The total effect of canola on the Canadian economy is not limited to the people working directly in the industry. The full impact also accounts for the <u>indirect</u> and <u>induced</u> effects that occur. The results of the total impact (direct + indirect + induced effects) are illustrated in Diagram 2 and in Tables 5-7.

- In 2018/19, the total *economic impact*, which includes direct, indirect and induced effects, amounted to \$27.7 billion. The average economic impact of canola on the Canadian economy over the past three years of full data, 2016/17 to 2018/19, was higher, *\$29.9 billion*, thanks to the high prices and high output of 2016/17.
- The total *employment effect* of canola between 2016/17 and 2018/19 averaged **207,000**. This includes canola farm family members.
- Over the same period, the *wage effect* of canola on the Canadian economy averaged *\$12.0 billion*. When divided by the jobs created, excluding those jobs to canola farm family members, the implied per-capita supported wage for 2018/19 was \$86,000, which compares favorably with an average Canadian salary approaching \$60,000.



#### **Diagram 2: Total effect of canola on the Canadian economy**

	2010/11	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Average 2016/17-18/19
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Canola farming	13.58	16.75	18.87	18.96	16.79	18.21
Elevation	0.37	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.38	0.41
Total seed delivery	0.98	1.73	2.02	2.10	2.03	2.05
Crushing	2.57	3.93	4.95	3.54	3.41	3.97
Refining	0.29	1.41	2.00	1.72	1.83	1.85
Bottling & packing	0.20	0.39	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.27
Biodiesel production	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.03
By-product delivery	0.23	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.31
Impact at ports	0.23	0.29	0.32	0.33	0.29	0.31
Total benefit to livestock sector	0.96	0.83	0.83	0.91	0.75	0.83
End uses	1.10	1.35	2.03	1.31	1.60	1.65
Total Economic Impact	20.52	27.43	32.03	29.87	27.73	29.88

#### Table 5: Total economic impact of canola on the Canadian economy (C\$ billion)

#### Table 6: Total employment impact of canola on the Canadian economy (thousand jobs)

	2010/11	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Average 2016/17-18/19
Seed development	2.35	2.84	2.79	2.83	2.88	2.83
Canola farming	106.77	110.76	109.57	112.36	110.82	110.92
Elevation	1.57	2.20	2.46	2.17	2.10	2.25
Total seed delivery	3.17	5.78	6.10	6.13	5.71	5.98
Crushing	4.31	4.80	4.78	4.75	4.73	4.75
Refining	2.27	2.95	2.93	2.92	2.90	2.92
Bottling & packing	1.01	1.04	1.04	1.29	0.93	1.09
Biodiesel production	0.01	0.47	0.48	0.58	0.54	0.53
By-product delivery	1.02	1.46	1.31	1.22	1.27	1.27
Impact at ports	1.03	1.57	1.75	1.74	1.54	1.67
Total benefit to livestock sector	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	9.11	9.36	9.32	11.61	8.37	9.77
Total Employment Impact	132.62	143.22	142.52	147.61	141.79	143.97
Additional canola farm family members	68.19	65.33	64.24	63.16	62.07	63.16
Total Employment (with farm family)	200.81	208.55	206.77	210.76	203.86	207.13

#### Table 7: Total wage impact of canola on the Canadian economy (C\$ billion)

	2010/11	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Average 2016/17-18/19
Seed development	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.17
Canola farming	5.43	8.44	9.34	10.97	10.54	10.28
Elevation	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.12
Total seed delivery	0.20	0.36	0.39	0.39	0.37	0.39
Crushing	0.19	0.22	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.18
Refining	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11
Bottling & packing	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06
Biodiesel production	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
By-product delivery	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
Impact at ports	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
Total benefit to livestock sector	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	0.45	0.47	0.47	0.58	0.43	0.49
Total Wage Impact	6.79	10.21	11.02	12.78	12.23	12.01

## Methodology: Use of multipliers to evaluate <u>indirect</u> and <u>induced</u> impacts

The direct effects of canola on the Canadian economy are significant. Nonetheless, they ignore the important economic effect that a core industry generates via a ripple effect on supporting industries. This is known as the <u>indirect</u> effect. For some steps in the canola value chain, the indirect effect can be significant. This is especially true for capital-intensive aspects of the sector, such as crushing. To illustrate this point, consider that a typical canola crushing facility in Canada, with average capacity of over 500,000 tonnes annually, directly employs between 40 and 60 people (excluding the refining side of operations). However, many jobs associated with keeping that facility operational, from white collar jobs in engineering to trade professions like electricians, plumbers and pipefitters, are done on a contractual basis with outside firms, making the true impact of the crush facility much higher.

Similarly, direct effects fail to capture the economic activity stemming from expenditures of households drawing a salary from a given sector. While these "induced" effects are typically smaller than indirect effects, they can still constitute a sizeable economic force, particularly when the sector being evaluated is large, as is the case for canola.

These economic and employment spin-offs are known as the *multiplier effect* in established economic literature. Multipliers measure the impact on the broader economy from some kind of exogenous shock to a specific sector of the economy.

In this report, we employ different multipliers for the economic, employment, and wage effects, and the size of the multiplier effect also varies geographically and across different subsectors of the canola value chain. Fortunately, *Canada maintains industry multipliers at a detailed sectoral level.* 

#### How StatCan multipliers have been used in this study

Statistics Canada's Industry Accounts Division has estimated as many as 282 economic multipliers for all Canadian provinces, with all categories available at the national level. Initially, it would seem reasonable to assume that *provincial*-level data would provide more detail, and hence accuracy, for the estimates of indirect and induced effects. However, after calculating estimates using provincial-level multipliers and after conversations with StatCan economists who developed the multipliers, we learned the following:

- For some industries, the number of data points at the provincial level can be insufficient to make an accurate assessment of the multiplier effect.
- Some sector designations can mean very different things when applied to different provinces. For example, the category "Crop Production" in the Prairies represents broad acre agriculture, like that of canola production. However, in Ontario or Quebec, "Crop Production" is skewed toward the smaller fruit farms more commonly found in these provinces, whereas this study is strictly focused on canola.
- Finally, induced effects are not estimated at the provincial level, but are available only at the national level.

For these reasons, we adopt national-level multipliers when estimating the total impact of canola on the Canadian economy, including at the provincial level. This ensures a level playing-field for each of the provinces.

Canadian multipliers are available for each of our impact measures, i.e. 1) economic impact, 2) employment impact, and 3) wage impact, at the direct, the direct+indirect, and the direct+indirect+induced levels.

#### Multipliers change over time

One challenge associated with using multipliers for sophisticated economies, like Canada, is that multipliers can change over time to reflect not only new economic realities, but also methodological developments. Also, constructing multiplier tables is both data and labor-intensive, resulting in a significant time lag in reporting. As of the writing of this study, for example, the most recent multipliers available were from 2016 (Table 8).

For this study:

- Total economic and employment impact calculated in the previous study, completed in 2017, were calculated using StatCan's 2010 multipliers (Table 8).
- Total impact from 2015/16-2018/19 has been calculated using StatCan's latest 2016 multipliers.

			2016 Multipl	iers	2010 Multipliers			
Value-added activity	StatCan Industry Designation	Economic Employment		Wages	Economic Employment		Wages	
		Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact	
Seed Development	Pesticides, Fertilizer Ag Chem Manu	2.53	4.68	3.46	2.64	4.68	3.55	
Farming	Crop Production	1.94	2.24	3.33	2.16	2.21	3.30	
Rail Transport	Rail Transportation	1.68	2.54	1.87	1.81	2.19	1.90	
Truck Transport	Truck Transportation	2.76	2.39	2.41	2.66	2.03	2.14	
Barge Transport	Water Transportation	3.18	3.40	2.75	3.00	3.01	2.72	
Crushing	Grain and Oilseed Milling	5.68	9.88	6.04	4.74	9.07	5.84	
Refining	Grain and Oilseed Milling	5.68	9.88	6.04	4.74	9.07	5.84	
Biodiesel	Grain and Oilseed Milling	5.68	9.88	6.04	4.74	9.07	5.84	
Elevation	Warehousing and Storage	1.50	1.72	1.53	1.89	1.57	1.58	
Port Activities	Warehousing and Storage	1.50	1.72	1.53	1.89	1.57	1.58	
Livestock	Animal Production	4.73	n/a	n/a	5.42	n/a	n/a	
End uses & bottling	Other Food Manufacturing	2.38	2.70	2.42	2.45	2.70	2.64	

#### Table 8: National-level multipliers derived from StatCan input-output tables

## Part B. Provincial Results – Overview

#### The direct effect of canola on Canadian provincial economies

Saskatchewan enjoys the largest *direct economic impact* from Canadian-grown canola. It is both the leading producer of canola seed and home to most of the country's processing capacity. Saskatchewan accounts for just over half of the total Canadian direct economic impact. With Alberta and Manitoba included, Canada's Prairie Provinces account for over 90% of the economic impact from canola.

#### Table 9: Direct economic impact by province (C\$ million), average 2016/17–2018/19

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Canola farming	0.7	13.0	18.2	1,368.3	5,242.9	2,726.4	40.1	9,409.6
Elevation	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.8	142.7	87.9	2.5	271.9
Seed delivery	0.0	0.7	1.1	173.1	549.0	204.1	5.2	933.2
Crushing	0.0	27.2	65.1	110.8	273.6	221.5	0.0	698.2
Refining	0.0	12.7	30.4	51.6	162.7	68.0	0.0	325.4
Bottling & packing	0.0	5.9	14.0	23.8	37.5	31.4	0.0	112.6
Biodiesel production	0.0	0.6	1.9	0.0	0.1	2.3	0.4	5.3
By-product delivery	0.0	3.5	14.4	19.9	76.0	61.5	0.1	175.4
Impact at ports	0.0	5.7	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	192.6	207.7
Livestock benefit	8.1	54.5	56.1	7.2	4.5	14.5	13.0	157.9
End uses	27.9	105.0	175.7	87.8	241.0	141.6	27.7	806.7
Direct Economic Impact	36.8	228.9	386.4	1,881.2	6,730.0	3,559.3	281.5	13,104.0

#### 8 -----7 impact (billion dollars) 6 5 4 · **Direct economic** 3 --2 1 0 Maritimes Quebec Ontario Manitoba Saskatchewan Alberta British Colombia Canola farming Elevation Seed delivery Crushing Refining Bottling & packing Biodiesel production By-product delivery Impact at ports Livestock benefit End uses

#### Diagram 3: Direct economic impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19

The Prairies also dominate in terms of the *employment impact* of canola. In Saskatchewan, over 55,000 people are directly employed in the canola sector when canola farm family members are included. An additional 62,000 work in Alberta and Manitoba, meaning that over 90% of canola's employment impact is generated in the Canadian prairies.

Table 10: Direct employment impact by	y province (full time equivalent jobs),
average 2016/17–2018/19	

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	605
Canola farming	6	386	300	8,383	24,135	16,165	211	49,587
Elevation	0	0	0	186	686	423	12	1,307
Seed delivery	0	6	8	381	1,445	530	11	2,380
Crushing	0	18	43	109	116	196	0	481
Refining	0	12	28	47	148	62	0	296
Bottling & packing	0	21	50	85	134	112	0	402
Biodiesel production	0	6	19	0	1	24	4	54
By-product delivery	0	14	52	59	218	158	1	502
Impact at ports	0	24	150	0	0	0	800	974
Livestock benefit	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	161	551	887	282	1,050	524	160	3,615
Direct Employment Impact	168	1,035	1,537	9,532	27,932	18,194	1,199	60,202
Canola farm family members	19	787	591	12,064	27,442	21,996	258	63,158
Direct Employment (with farm family)	187	1,822	2,128	21,596	55,374	40,191	1,457	123,360



#### Diagram 4: Direct employment impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19

Finally, of the \$3.8 billion in *direct wages* derived from canola, \$3.5 billion are paid into the Prairie provinces.

#### Table 11: Direct wage impact by province (C\$ million), average 2016/17-2018/19

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	48.8
Canola farming	0.6	10.4	25.5	475.1	1,558.4	997.5	19.3	3,086.8
Elevation	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	41.5	25.3	0.7	78.9
Seed delivery	0.0	0.3	0.4	30.8	113.7	39.0	1.0	185.1
Crushing	0.0	1.1	2.6	6.6	7.0	11.8	0.0	29.1
Refining	0.0	0.7	1.7	2.8	8.9	3.7	0.0	17.8
Bottling & packing	0.0	1.3	3.2	5.4	8.5	7.1	0.0	25.4
Biodiesel production	0.0	0.3	1.2	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.2	3.3
By-product delivery	0.0	0.8	3.6	5.6	21.5	15.3	0.1	46.9
Impact at ports	0.0	1.7	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	70.9
Livestock benefit	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	10.2	33.5	52.9	12.5	57.9	26.0	10.1	203.1
Direct Wage Impact	10.9	50.1	101.9	550.0	1,817.4	1,127.3	89.7	3,796.1

#### Diagram 5: Direct wage impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19



#### The total effect of canola on Canadian provincial economies

Applying the indirect and induced multiplier effects does little to change the relative effects of canola on Canada's provinces. Of the nearly \$30 billion total economic impact of canola on the Canadian economy, close to 50% stems from Saskatchewan, with another 40% coming out of Alberta and Manitoba combined (Table 12 and Diagram 6).

Table 12: Total economic impact by province (C\$ million), average 2016/17-2018/19

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Canola farming	1.4	25.2	35.3	2,647.6	10,145.0	5,275.5	77.6	18,207.6
Elevation	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	214.5	132.1	3.7	408.6
Seed delivery	0.0	2.0	3.0	430.7	1,168.8	433.4	10.0	2,047.9
Crushing	0.0	154.5	370.2	629.3	1,554.7	1,258.6	0.0	3,967.3
Refining	0.0	72.3	172.5	293.3	924.4	386.6	0.0	1,849.1
Bottling & packing	0.0	14.0	33.3	56.6	89.2	74.6	0.0	267.6
Biodiesel production	0.0	3.2	10.9	0.0	0.6	13.1	2.3	30.2
By-product delivery	0.0	8.6	31.5	34.5	128.4	105.3	0.2	308.5
Impact at ports	0.1	8.5	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	289.5	312.2
Livestock benefit	43.0	287.5	295.6	37.2	23.6	76.4	68.5	831.7
End uses	66.3	235.6	384.3	151.9	483.5	261.9	65.8	1,649.1
Total Economic Impact	110.7	811.4	1,350.6	4,339.4	14,732.6	8,017.6	517.5	29,879.9



#### Diagram 6: Total economic impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19

Of the 207,000 Canadian people supported by the canola sector (a figure including farm family members), roughly 192,000 are in the Canadian prairies, with 92,000 people dependent on the canola sector in Saskatchewan alone (Table 13 and Diagram 7).

## Table 13: Total employment impact by province (full time equivalent jobs), average2016/17-2018/19

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2,832
Canola farming	14	863	671	18,754	53,990	36,162	472	110,925
Elevation	0	0	0	320	1,179	727	20	2,246
Seed delivery	0	13	19	996	3,619	1,305	28	5,979
Crushing	0	173	425	1,074	1,144	1,938	0	4,755
Refining	0	114	272	463	1,459	610	0	2,919
Bottling & packing	0	57	135	229	362	303	0	1,085
Biodiesel production	0	55	188	0	11	238	40	533
By-product delivery	0	34	127	149	553	401	3	1,267
Impact at ports	0	40	259	0	0	0	1,374	1,674
Livestock benefit	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	435	1,488	2,397	762	2,836	1,415	433	9,767
Total Employment Impact	450	2,838	4,493	22,747	65,153	43,098	2,370	143,981
Canola farm family members	19	787	591	12,064	27,442	21,996	258	63,158
Total Employment (with farm family)	469	3,625	5,084	34,812	92,595	65,094	2,628	207,139



#### Diagram 7: Total employment impact by province, average 2016/17–2018/19

Lastly, of the \$12.0 billion in total wages attributable to canola, on average between 2016/17 and 2018/19, \$11.2 billion stems from the canola industries of the Canadian prairies (Table 14 and Diagram 8).

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Seed development	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	169.1
Canola farming	2.2	34.6	84.8	1,582.5	5,191.0	3,322.7	64.2	10,282.1
Elevation	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.3	63.5	38.8	1.1	120.7
Seed delivery	0.0	0.7	1.0	67.3	234.5	80.8	1.9	386.2
Crushing	0.0	6.4	15.7	39.7	42.2	71.5	0.0	175.5
Refining	0.0	4.2	10.0	17.1	53.8	22.5	0.0	107.7
Bottling & packing	0.0	3.2	7.7	13.0	20.5	17.2	0.0	61.5
Biodiesel production	0.0	2.1	7.0	0.0	0.4	8.8	1.5	19.7
By-product delivery	0.0	1.9	7.7	10.6	40.3	28.9	0.1	89.6
Impact at ports	0.0	2.6	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.2	108.5
Livestock benefit	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
End uses	24.7	81.2	128.3	30.2	140.2	63.1	24.5	492.2
Total Wage Impact	26.9	136.8	278.8	1,777.8	5,786.6	3,654.3	182.5	12,012.8

#### Table 14: Total wage impact by province (C\$ million C\$), average 2016/17–2018/19





## Part C. Detailed Results - by Step in the Canola Value Chain

Below we present our provincial-level results in further detail and discuss the methodology employed in accounting for direct <u>economic</u>, <u>employment</u> and <u>wage</u> impacts across the distinct steps in the canola value chain.

#### Seed development

Canola seed development is a significant industry within Canada. It produces more than 70% of the seed used within Canada, with the remainder grown in the Pacific Northwest of the United States (25%) and Chile (5%). Canola breeding efforts are also concentrated in Canada, and the country exports a fair amount of seed for planting as well — mostly to the United States.

#### Impact and methodology

Estimates of the economic, employment and wage impact of the canola seed sector have been based on first-hand discussions with industry stakeholders.

- We do not provide a specific estimate of the economic impact of canola seed development in our analysis. However, although the economic impact of the canola seed sector is not listed explicitly, the impact of the sector is captured under the <u>canola farming</u> category in the form of improved yields and higher quality seed. If we were to address seed development as a separate item, we would have to lower the canola farming value added by the same amount (or we would be double-counting this value). We would also have to do the same for other inputs such as fertilizers, agricultural chemicals and farm machinery. Including each of these would reduce the farm value added. Instead, the canola faming sector captures the value of seed development and all other farm inputs at this key stage in the value chain.
- Nonetheless, although we do not include the economic impact estimate in the earlier sections, we do include an estimate of this in the table below alongside the employment and wages impact estimates for canola seed development.
- From the tables below, for the direct economic impact, we estimate that \$105 million stems from the canola seed sector.<sup>1</sup> For the total economic impact, we estimate that \$267 million is directly attributable to the seed sector.
- The direct employment impact of the Canadian seed industry is estimated at over 600 jobs, which include individuals involved in biotech, breeding, seed production and marketing. Roughly half of these individuals are involved in marketing or technical sales, with the remainder involved in R+D or seed manufacturing. When indirect and induced impacts are taken into account, the employment impact of the industry is estimated at over 2,800.
- Direct wages for the sector are estimated at nearly \$49 million, while the total wage impact is estimated at \$169 million.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This accounts for seed company expenditures alone and does not attempt to quantify the share of canola's value (through improved yields, improved quality, etc.) attributable to the efforts of the canola sector.

Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba S	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	105.4
n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	266.9
n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	605
n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2,832
n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	48.8
n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	169.1
	n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.	n.a.         n.a. <th< td=""><td>n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.</td></th<>	n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.

#### Table 15: Impact of the Canadian canola seed industry, average 2016/17-2018/19

#### **Canola farming**

Canola farming is the foundation of the canola value chain in Canada and accounts for 70% of the direct economic impact, 36% of the direct employment impact, and 66% of the direct wage impact of the canola value chain.

#### Impact

- The direct economic impact of canola farming averages \$9.4 billion over the last three years, with the total economic impact estimated at over \$18 billion. As mentioned earlier in the study, this impact is concentrated in the Prairie Provinces.
- Canola farming directly employs almost 50,000 paid individuals. This figure does not include canola farm family members, who will be discussed in the next section. When the indirect and induced multipliers are applied, the total employment impact of canola farming is estimated at just over 110,000.
- \$3 billion in wages are directly attributable to canola farming. For growers, this
  includes profits from the canola share of their farm, while for hired labor it comprises
  wages paid out by growers. Including indirect and induced effects, the total wage
  impact of canola farming is over \$10 billion.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.7	13.0	18.2	1,368.3	5,242.9	2,726.4	40.1	9,409.6
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	1.4	25.2	35.3	2,647.6	10,145.0	5,275.5	77.6	18,207.6
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	6	386	300	8,383	24,135	16,165	211	49,587
Total employment (FTE jobs)	14	863	671	18,754	53,990	36,162	472	110,925
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.6	10.4	25.5	475.1	1,558.4	997.5	19.3	3,086.8
Total wages (C\$ million)	2.2	34.6	84.8	1,582.5	5,191.0	3,322.7	64.2	10,282.1

#### Table 16: Impact of Canadian canola farming and production, average 2016/17–2018/19

#### Methodology

We determine the economic impact of canola farming by considering the *canola revenues* earned by farmers; this serves as a proxy for volumes produced multiplied by prices received. Unlike the other sectors in our analysis, this calculation does not estimate the value added by the sector: to do this, we would have to subtract canola farming costs from canola farming revenues. However, if we did that, we would fail to capture the economic impact of the wide array of inputs used in canola farming, such as seed, fertilizers and crop protection. To include these would necessitate a multitude of value added calculations for each input into

canola farming. The best way to view the canola farming impact in this report, therefore, is to view this as *a summation of all the value added by all the sectors up to and including the canola farming stage*.

The value of canola farming is determined by two main factors:

- **Canola prices:** The trajectory of Canadian canola prices in Diagram 1 demonstrates the peaks before 2013 and the more stable, lower prices thereafter.
- **Canola output:** Canadian canola seed production shows the upward trajectory since 2010.

The effect of higher output offsets the decline in value from lower prices since 2010. This counterbalancing explains the broad stability of canola revenues and the economic impact of canola farming in Canada since 2010.



For this study, we took paid canola employment to be a combination of growers and paid labor. While many growers may hire an immediate family member (like a son or daughter) we assumed that hired labor was primarily found outside the immediate family. The employment effect on unpaid immediate family members is captured in the next section.

Estimating *grower employment* in canola farming was straightforward and done on the basis of the number of farms in Canada that grow canola (Diagram 3).

Canola was assumed to provide a *canola job* regardless of the fact that canola may make up only a portion of a farm's acreage (given that it is grown on rotation). Had we accounted for the fact that canola farming may make up 1/2 to 1/3 of a grain and oilseed grower's time, presenting the employment number in terms of "Full-time Equivalent," the number would have been lower.

Canola's share of farm earnings was used to represent a grower's *canola wage*. Canola earnings were based on the average farm earnings for grain and oilseed farmers, from a data series available from StatCan. To account for the canola share of those earnings, we divided average canola acreage per farm by the average farm size. We then multiplied this ratio by the StatCan series on profitability.



Diagram 11: Number of farms growing canola in Canada

Estimates for *hired labor* were based on crop budgets developed by agricultural ministry extension specialists from across the Prairie Provinces. While there was some variability in these budgets in terms of labor requirements, the data was fairly tightly clustered at around 1.6 man-hours per acre of canola. By multiplying the number of canola acres by 1.6 and dividing by 2000 (50 weeks x 40 hours/week), we arrived at the number of hired hands working on canola farms on a full-time basis annually.

*Wages* for hired labor were also taken from StatCan with total wages paid being the product of the number of hired workers and the prevailing wage.

2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
n.a.	n.a.	7	6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1
25	33	34	31	28	24	27	30	29
64	71	60	48	28	28	32	36	50
2,660	2,240	2,904	2,600	2,540	2,572	2,560	2,528	2,733
6,800	7,920	9,280	8,520	8,600	8,920	9,000	10,184	9,880
4,440	4,857	5,360	5,072	5,440	5,012	4,933	5,544	5,448
80	71	96	80	84	72	76	90	110
14,069	15,192	17,741	16,357	16,720	16,628	16,627	18,411	18,251
	n.a. 25 64 2,660 6,800 4,440 80	n.a.         n.a.           25         33           64         71           2,660         2,240           6,800         7,920           4,440         4,857           80         71	n.a.         n.a.         7           25         33         34           64         71         60           2,660         2,240         2,904           6,800         7,920         9,280           4,440         4,857         5,360           80         71         96	n.a.         n.a.         7         6           25         33         34         31           64         71         60         48           2,660         2,240         2,904         2,600           6,800         7,920         9,280         8,520           4,440         4,857         5,360         5,072           80         71         96         80	n.a.         n.a.         7         6         n.a.           25         33         34         31         28           64         71         60         48         28           2,660         2,240         2,904         2,600         2,540           6,800         7,920         9,280         8,520         8,600           4,440         4,857         5,360         5,072         5,440           80         71         96         80         84	n.a.         n.a.         7         6         n.a.         n.a.           25         33         34         31         28         24           64         71         60         48         28         28           2,660         2,240         2,904         2,600         2,540         2,572           6,800         7,920         9,280         8,520         8,600         8,920           4,440         4,857         5,360         5,072         5,440         5,012           80         71         96         80         84         72	n.a.         n.a.         7         6         n.a.         n.a.         n.a.           25         33         34         31         28         24         27           64         71         60         48         28         28         32           2,660         2,240         2,904         2,600         2,540         2,572         2,560           6,800         7,920         9,280         8,520         8,600         8,920         9,000           4,440         4,857         5,360         5,072         5,440         5,012         4,933           80         71         96         80         84         72         76	n.a.       n.a.       7       6       n.a.       n.a.       n.a.       n.a.         25       33       34       31       28       24       27       30         64       71       60       48       28       28       32       36         2,660       2,240       2,904       2,600       2,540       2,572       2,560       2,528         6,800       7,920       9,280       8,520       8,600       8,920       9,000       10,184         4,440       4,857       5,360       5,072       5,440       5,012       4,933       5,544         80       71       96       80       84       72       76       90

#### Table 17: Number of hired workers dedicated to canola acreage

#### **Canola farm family members**

Estimating the employment impact of an agricultural commodity presents the added challenge of how to account for farm family members other than the growers themselves. In some families, spouses and children may provide just a supporting role in farm operations, be it through keeping the books, buying supplies, or providing labor on an occasional basis. For other families, however, spouses and grown children may work on a nearly full-time basis, supported by farm revenues and, in the case of grown children, possibly working as a means ultimately to acquire the farm from their parents.

#### Impact and methodology

To account for this impact, we have included a sub-category in our employment estimates for *canola farm family members*. As labor that is unpaid in the traditional sense, this category is differentiated from the rest of our employment estimates across the canola value chain, which represent workers who draw a cash wage from working in the canola sector. Consequently, the total employment effect given at the beginning of this study is presented with and without this number.

A number of data sets detail the average size of Canadian families over time, maintained by StatCan. One series suggests an average Canadian farm family size of 3.1 resident persons. Using this series would, therefore, imply that for every grower, there are just over two additional canola farm family members. Because these family members are assumed to be uncompensated through wages, *no indirect or induced multiplier has been applied toward this group and totals are the same whether looking at direct or total impacts*.

Lastly, we remind readers that the economic impact associated with these workers has been captured under the previous heading "canola farming."

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba S	askatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	19	787	591	12,064	27,442	21,996	258	63,158
Total employment (FTE jobs)	19	787	591	12,064	27,442	21,996	258	63,158
Direct wages (C\$ million)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total wages (C\$ million)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

#### Table 18: Impact of canola farm family members, average 2016/17–2018/19

#### **Primary elevation**

Up to around 40% of the canola produced in Canada can be delivered directly from growers' farms to processing facilities, with the balance being delivered to primary elevation facilities. At these facilities, canola (and other grains) are stored until needed, 1) by domestic crushing facilities, 2) for overland export to the US or Mexico, or 3) for delivery to Canadian ports for overseas export.

#### Impact

- The direct economic impact of canola elevation in Canada averaged \$272 million between 2016/17 and 2018/19. The total economic impact, meanwhile, is estimated at nearly \$410 million.
- An estimated 1,300 people are directly employed in primary canola elevation. When
  indirect and induced effects are included, the total effect is estimated at just under
  over 2,200 jobs.
- Lastly, wages directly attributable to primary canola elevation are calculated at \$79 million over the observed time frame, with the total wage effect estimated at over \$120 million.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.8	142.7	87.9	2.5	271.9
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	214.5	132.1	3.7	408.6
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	0	0	186	686	423	12	1,307
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	0	0	320	1,179	727	20	2,246
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	41.5	25.3	0.7	78.9
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.3	63.5	38.8	1.1	120.7

#### Table 19: Impact of primary canola elevation, average 2016/17–2018/19

#### Methodology

The economic impact of canola elevation was determined by the product of volumes of canola being elevated and fees incurred for primary elevation. For the Prairie Provinces, elevated canola volumes were determined by data available through the *Canadian Grain Commission*, with the numbers modified slightly to reconcile with information on internal canola trade flows within the country. Elsewhere, the volumes of canola passing through elevators (versus being delivered directly to processing facilities) were derived based on conversations with industry stakeholders. We estimate negligible volumes of elevation outside of the Prairies.

- We estimate up to twelve million tonnes of canola can pass through primary elevation facilities in Canada, a reflection of increased processing capacity in the country.
- Primary elevation fees were also obtained from the *Canadian Grain Commission* based on annual surveys they conduct on the costs of moving grain to point of export. Total fees, for receiving, removal of dockage and storage, typically range from \$20-\$25 per tonne over the period.



To understand the employment impact of primary canola elevation, we began with a *"Working in Canada"* report developed by the Canadian government. This identifies 6,250 individuals employed in the elevation of all agricultural commodities in Canada. The canola share of this total was calculated by multiplying the total jobs figure by the ratio of canola in commercial positions over all grains in commercial positions. Salaries for these positions were based on a *StatCan* series for jobs in grain processing and handling.

#### Seed delivery

Seed delivery comprises delivery of seed from the farm to its point of processing within Canada or departure from Canada. The majority of canola seed is delivered to elevators for rail shipment to 1) Canadian ports, 2) the US border, or 3) processing facilities within Canada, while an increasing share is delivered by farmers directly to processing facilities within Canada. Within Canada:

- Seed is transported across provinces predominantly by rail.
- Seed delivered directly to processing facilities is transported by truck.
- A small share is also delivered by barge/laker vessels to the processing facilities in Ontario and Quebec.

#### Impact

The economic impact of seed deliveries is presented separately for rail, truck, and barge. Because transport networks are nationwide rather than being fixed at a single point (unlike crushing, for example), *transportation effects are presented on the basis of where the seed originates*, rather than being allocated across the path in which the seed travels or where the seed might be delivered.

- The direct economic impact of rail transportation of seed in Canada is quantified at an average of over \$530 million between 2016/17 and 2018/19, while the total impact, including indirect and induced impacts, is estimated at almost \$895 million.
- Almost 1,200 individuals are employed directly in the rail transportation of canola seed, with a total employment impact of more than 3,000 jobs.
- Wages directly attributable to rail transportation of canola seed amount to almost \$120 million, with the total wage impact estimated at over \$220 million.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	0.7	1.1	173.1	549.0	204.1	5.2	933.2
by rail	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.6	339.7	120.1	4.0	532.5
by truck	0.0	0.7	1.1	40.2	159.4	84.0	1.2	286.6
by laker	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.3	49.8	0.0	0.0	114.:
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	2.0	3.0	430.7	1,168.8	433.4	10.0	2,047.9
by rail	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.3	570.8	201.7	6.8	894.6
by truck	0.0	2.0	2.9	110.8	439.5	231.7	3.2	790.2
by laker	0.0	0.0	0.0	204.5	158.5	0.0	0.0	363.0
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	6	8	381	1,445	530	11	2,38
by rail	0	0	0	165	765	244	8	1,18
by truck	0	6	8	156	633	286	3	1,09
by laker	0	0	0	60	47	0	0	10
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	13	19	996	3,619	1,305	28	5,97
by rail	0	0	0	419	1,945	620	21	3,00
by truck	0	13	19	373	1,514	685	7	2,61
by laker	0	0	0	203	159	0	0	36
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	0.3	0.4	30.8	113.7	39.0	1.0	185.
by rail	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.5	76.6	24.4	0.8	118.
by truck	0.0	0.3	0.4	8.0	32.3	14.6	0.1	55.
by laker	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	4.9	0.0	0.0	11.
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	0.7	1.0	67.3	234.5	80.8	1.9	386.
by rail	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.9	143.3	45.6	1.5	221.
by truck	0.0	0.7	1.0	19.3	77.8	35.2	0.4	134.
by laker	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.2	13.4	0.0	0.0	30.

#### Table 20: Impact of canola seed transportation, average 2016/17–2018/19

- The direct economic impact of seed transportation by truck, which includes trucking to elevators in addition to trucking directly to crushing facilities, averaged over \$285 million annually between 2016/17 and 2018/19. The total impact, meanwhile, is estimated at over \$790 million.
- The direct employment impact of seed transportation by truck averaged nearly 1,100 jobs over the observed timeframe. When indirect and induced multipliers are applied, we calculate the total impact to exceed 2,600 jobs supported.
- More than \$55 million in annual wages were earned directly through canola seed trucking over the observed three-year time frame. At the same time, the total wage impact from canola seed trucking was calculated to be almost \$135 million.
- Given the relatively small share of canola seed traffic that takes place in laker vessels, the economic impact of seed transported by laker vessel is small in comparison to that of canola transported by rail or truck. Direct economic impact between 2016/17 and 2018/19 averaged almost \$115 million annually, with the total impact estimated at over \$360 million.

- Jobs directly associated with laker transportation of canola seed and associated port activities averaged almost 110 over the observed time frame, whereas the total employment impact is estimated at more than 360 jobs.
- Direct wages attributable to laker transportation of canola seed meanwhile averaged over \$11 million, with the total wage impact exceeding \$30 million.

#### Methodology

With near-infinite combinations of farm origins and end-use destinations, determining the economic impact of canola seed transportation is the most complicated aspect of our economic impact model.

For rail, trucking and barge transport:

- The first step is to determine the inter-provincial trade flows of canola seed. To do this, we reconciled provincial-level canola production and estimates of factory level crush (from the next section on Crushing).
- The next step is to compile a distance matrix between the centers of canola production, canola processing and points of export (port facilities).

Note: Overseas exports are assigned to a province only if the seed left from a port located in that province. Hence, the overseas exports category is zero for Alberta and Saskatchewan where no port facilities exist.

#### Trucking

Trucking canola seed was divided up into two categories:

- Volumes trucked from farm to elevator were based on the volumes of seed passing through elevators (see previous section). These data were obtained in part from the Canadian Grain Commission.
- Canola that does not pass through a primary elevator was accounted for in *volumes* trucked directly to crushing facilities.

For the Prairie Provinces, where canola production is relatively evenly distributed, the average distance trucked from farm to elevator was determined by dividing the number of square miles of canola planted by the volume of canola harvested. For Quebec, Ontario and British Columbia, where canola production is smaller and more isolated, the average distance to elevators was determined in conversations with individuals with local canola expertise.

Distances for canola seed trucked directly from farm to processing facility were determined using the average distance between the geographic centers of canola production in a province and processing facilities situated within that province. In all cases, canola trucked directly to processing facilities was done at a distance of less than 205 miles, which would be at the high end of actual observed trucking distances.

Volumes were multiplied by distances to arrive at a figure in tonne-miles. This, in turn, was multiplied by a tonne-mile trucking rate sourced from StatCan to derive a final trucking expenditures number.





The direct *employment* impact of canola seed trucking was calculated from the tonne-miles of canola seed delivered by truck. This was converted to a full-time employment impact by assuming that a typical truck (with one driver):

- Transports 18 tonnes of cargo
- Averages 40 miles per hour
- A full-time trucker drives 2,000 hours per year
- Trucking wages were obtained from StatCan data

#### Rail

Our calculations on rail expenditures also begin with estimates of provincial canola trade flows with the US and net inter-provincial rail trade.

Note: There is a fair amount of canola moved by laker vessel between Ontario and Quebec. A large volume of canola crushed in Bécancours, QC that originates from the Prairie Provinces is delivered by rail to Ontario and then delivered by laker vessel from Ontario to Quebec.

The inter-provincial trade estimates provide us with an estimate for tonne-miles of canola seed transported. The tonne-mile figure is then multiplied by a range of rail freight rates (which tend to be higher for shorter distances and lower for longer distances, as Diagram 12 illustrates) to arrive at an estimate of rail freight expenditures.



**Diagram 14: Trended range in Canadian rail rates** 

For **employment**, according to the *Railway Association of Canada*, roughly 33,000 individuals are employed in freight rail in Canada, a number that has fallen slightly over the last decade. Using the *Association* estimate of tonne-miles of cargo transported in Canada annually, to estimate the number of individuals directly employed in the rail transportation of canola seed, we multiplied total freight rail employment by the ratio of canola tonne-miles to total freight tonne-miles.

Rail *wages* were also obtained from the *Railway Association of Canada* and multiplied by jobs to determine the direct wage impact.

#### Lakers

Estimates of the expenditures incurred through canola shipment by laker begin with the assumption that roughly 75% of the canola delivered to the two processing facilities in Ontario and one in Quebec is delivered by laker vessel. Most of this barge traffic originates around Thunder Bay, ON, based on rail shipments delivered from the Prairies. Laker shipping rates were obtained from the Canadian Grain Commission and average close to \$25 per tonne in recent years.

Employment and wages on both laker vessels themselves and at ports were based on conversations with experts in shipping in the Great Lakes and on a report entitled "Ocean Shipping in the Great Lakes: an Analysis of Issues" by Dr. John Taylor of Grand Valley State University.

#### Crushing

Canada's crushing sector adds value to over nine million tonnes of canola seed annually.

#### Impact

- The direct economic impact of canola crushing on the Canadian economy is just under \$700 million. The total economic impact, including indirect and induced impacts is almost \$4 billion.
- Over 480 individuals are directly employed in canola crushing. However as a capital intensive sector that relies heavily on contracted workers, the total employment impact of canola is estimated to be much higher, supporting over 4,750 jobs.
- Nearly \$30 million in wages are paid out to individuals directly employed in canola crushing. Like the employment impact, however, the total wage impact of canola crushing is much higher, in excess of \$175 million.

### Table 21: Impact of canola crushing, average 2016/17–2018/19

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	27.2	65.1	110.8	273.6	221.5	0.0	698.2
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	154.5	370.2	629.3	1,554.7	1,258.6	0.0	3,967.3
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	18	43	109	116	196	0	481
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	173	425	1,074	1,144	1,938	0	4,755
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	1.1	2.6	6.6	7.0	11.8	0.0	29.1
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	6.4	15.7	39.7	42.2	71.5	0.0	175.5

#### Methodology

The economic impact of the canola crushing sector is determined based on the value it adds from processing seed into its crude oil and meal by-products. This is done on a provincial level by estimating the crush at each canola processing facility in Canada. We estimate this on the basis of each facility's processing capacity, while taking into account the fact that the Ontario and Quebec facilities devote a significant share of their capacity to soybeans.

To account for the swing crush capacity in Ontario and Quebec, we first estimate total jobs at the swing plants in these provinces, and then apportion jobs to canola by canola's crush quantity relative to soybeans in that province.

Once seed crush volumes are estimated, we have to choose which set of canola seed and byproduct prices are most representative of the crush value added. Consulting with crushers suggests that spot prairie prices may overstate the value of by-products and understate the value of seed, while Vancouver prices may cause the opposite problem. We opted for the board crush margin (which actually relies on soybeans for by-product prices), as a midpoint between the two. The **total economic impact** of the crushing sector was then taken to be the product of volumes crushed and the board indicator of value added.

The *employment* impact of the canola crushing sector was determined via discussions with employees of the major crushers in Canada as well as through press releases citing the number of individuals employed in a given facility.

The average *wages* for employees of crushing facilities was obtained from StatCan data.



#### Refining

Most crushing facilities in Canada refine a portion of the crude oil they produce on site. The notable exception is the Cargill facility in Camrose, AB, which does not currently refine oil, transferring some crude oil output to Clavet, SK. Bunge in Saskatchewan also transfers crude oil to its Wainwright facility, also in Saskatchewan. Overall, 60-70% of Canada's crude canola oil is usually refined in Canada.

#### Impact

- The direct economic impact on the Canadian economy from refining crude canola oil averaged close to \$325 million annually between 2016/17 and 2018/19. The total economic impact, meanwhile, is estimated at over \$1.8 billion annually because this sector, like crushing, enjoys a high multiple with many associated benefits for the local economy.
- Approximately 300 people are directly employed by canola refining in Canada. With the substantial multiplier effect, the total employment impact is estimated at over 2,900 jobs.
- \$18 million in wages are directly attributable to canola refining while the total wage impact is just less than \$110 million.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	12.7	30.4	51.6	162.7	68.0	0.0	325.4
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	72.3	172.5	293.3	924.4	386.6	0.0	1,849.1
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	12	28	47	148	62	0	296
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	114	272	463	1,459	610	0	2,919
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	0.7	1.7	2.8	8.9	3.7	0.0	17.8
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	4.2	10.0	17.1	53.8	22.5	0.0	107.7

#### Table 22: Impact of canola refining, average 2016/17–2018/19
2.4 2.1

1.8

1.5

1.2 0.9

0.6 0.3

0.0 2010/11

Million Tonnes

The value added to the Canadian economy by canola refining is calculated by estimating the volumes produced multiplied by the value added per tonne of crude oil refined. Total canola oil refined is estimated as:

- crude canola oil production
- minus crude oil exports
- minus biodiesel use of canola oil, which we assume is refined at integrated biodiesel . facilities, if required.

This total was then allocated across Canada's processing facilities based on crude canola oil production, adjusting for the fact that Camrose, AB does not refine crude canola oil in the same province. Note that we treat the Bunge Saskatchewan crushing and refining plants as an integrated process, although they take place at distinct facilities.





**Diagram 18: Canadian refining value added** 

To account for the canola/soy swing plants in Ontario and Quebec, we estimate total refining jobs at the swing plants in these provinces, and then apportion jobs to canola by canola's refining quantity relative to soybeans in that province (similar to our treatment of crushing at these plants).

The value added per tonne of canola oil was based on the spread between unit export values for crude and refined canola oil, obtained from Canadian trade data.

# **Biodiesel production**

2012/13

2014/15

Canadian biodiesel use of canola oil has risen steeply to account for as much as 400,000 tonnes of oil per year today. This has had significant impact across the canola value chain, especially for job creation.

## Impact

- The direct economic impact on the Canadian economy of producing biodiesel from crude canola oil averaged \$5 million annually between 2016/17 and 2018/19 while the total economic impact from the activity is estimated at \$30 million annually. This number is lower than may be expected because the price of the fuel (biodiesel) is sometimes lower than the price of the canola oil used to process it.
- Over 50 full time jobs are directly attributable to biodiesel production from canola oil in Canada. The total attributable employment impact is estimated at over 530 jobs because of a high multiplier effect in this sector, with substantial spin-off benefits for the local economy.
- Over \$3 million in wages are directly attributable to canola refining, while the total wage impact is close to \$20 million.

	Maritimes	Quebec	: Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	0.6	1.9	0.0	0.1	2.3	0.4	5.3
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	3.2	10.9	0.0	0.6	13.1	2.3	30.2
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	6	19	0	1	24	4	54
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	55	188	0	11	238	40	533
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	0.3	1.2	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.2	3.3
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	2.1	7.0	0.0	0.4	8.8	1.5	19.7

### Table 23: Impact of biodiesel production from canola oil, average 2016/17–2018/19

# Methodology

The economic impact of the biodiesel sector was taken to be a function of canola oil used in biodiesel production, as reported by the USDA, and the premium of diesel fuel over crude canola oil.

We use the petroleum price because no wholesale biodiesel price series exists for Canada. The production incentives enacted by the government are more a reflection of policy aims, such as lowering greenhouse-gas emissions, than value added. Nonetheless, although the economic value added is relatively small, job creation in the biodiesel sector, and wages earned, are significant.



Diagram 19: Canola oil used in Canadian biodiesel production

# **By-product delivery**

Most Canola crushing facilities produce and distribute three different by-products in considerable volumes—crude oil, refined oil and canola meal. In this section, we track the distribution of these products across Canada, by truck or by rail, to domestic users (including biodiesel producers), to port facilities for overseas export, or to the US border in the event that the product is being shipped to the US or Mexico. Deliveries of *biodiesel* from production facility to blending facility are not included.

## Impact

We present our results for the economic impact of canola by-product delivery for crude oil, refined oil and meal in the table below. For all three by-products, results are presented for both rail and truck transportation.

- **Crude oil:** The direct economic impact of crude canola oil shipped by rail is close to \$6 million with the total impact estimated at \$10 million. For truck shipments (mostly for biodiesel production) the numbers are \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively.
- **Crude oil:** 15 jobs are directly attributable to the rail shipment of crude canola oil while the total employment impact is almost 40 jobs. For truck shipments, these figures are 4 and 10.
- **Crude oil:** The direct and total wage impact, meanwhile, averaged \$1.5 million and \$3 million respectively for rail over the last three years. For truck shipments of crude canola oil, wages paid amount to less than \$1 million dollars annually.

	Maritimes 0	Juebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	3.5	14.4	19.9	76.0	61.5	0.1	175.4
crude oil by rail	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.7	4.1	0.0	5.9
crude oil by truck	0.0	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.1
meal by rail	0.0	0.5	5.8	14.8	45.6	36.6	0.0	103.3
meal by truck	0.0	1.1	3.2	0.8	0.4	0.9	0.0	6.3
refined oil by rail	0.0	0.5	1.9	4.0	28.0	19.0	0.0	53.3
refined oil by truck	0.0	1.2	2.8	0.3	0.2	0.9	0.0	5.5
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	8.6	31.5	34.5	128.4	105.3	0.2	308.5
crude oil by rail	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.8	6.9	0.0	9.9
crude oil by truck	0.0	0.5	2.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	2.9
meal by rail	0.0	0.9	9.7	24.9	76.6	61.5	0.0	173.6
meal by truck	0.0	3.0	8.8	2.1	1.0	2.5	0.0	17.5
refined oil by rail	0.0	0.8	3.1	6.7	47.1	31.9	0.0	89.6
refined oil by truck	0.0	3.3	7.8	0.8	0.7	2.5	0.0	15.1
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	14	52	59	218	158	1	502
crude oil by rail	0	0	0	0	4	10	n.a.	1
crude oil by truck	0	1	2	n.a.	0	0	1	4
meal by rail	0	1	16	44	115	93	0	26
meal by truck	0	5	17	4	2	5	0	32
refined oil by rail	0	1	4	9	95	46	0	150
refined oil by truck	0	6	13	1	1	4	0	20
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	34	127	149	553	401	3	1,267
crude oil by rail	0	0	0	0	11	26	n.a.	38
crude oil by truck	0	2	5	n.a.	0	0	3	10
meal by rail	0	3	40	113	292	235	0	68
meal by truck	0	13	40	10	4	11	0	78
refined oil by rail	0	2	10	24	242	118	0	396
refined oil by truck	0	14	32	3	3	10	0	62
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	0.8	3.6	5.6	21.5	15.3	0.1	46.9
crude oil by rail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.0	n.a.	1.5
crude oil by truck	0.0	0.0	0.1	n.a.	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
meal by rail	0.0	0.1	1.6	4.4	11.4	9.2	0.0	26.7
meal by truck	0.0	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.1
refined oil by rail	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.9	9.5	4.6	0.0	15.
refined oil by truck	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.3
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	1.9	7.7	10.6	40.3	28.9	0.1	89.6
crude oil by rail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.9	n.a.	2.8
crude oil by truck	0.0	0.1	0.3	n.a.	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.
meal by rail	0.0	0.2	2.9	8.2	21.4	17.2	0.0	50.0
meal by truck	0.0	0.7	2.0	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.0	4.0
refined oil by rail	0.0	0.2	0.7	1.7	17.7	8.6	0.0	29.0
refined oil by truck	0.0	0.7	1.7	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.0	3.

#### Table 24: Impact of canola oil and meal distribution, average 2016/17–2018/19

- **Refined oil:** The direct economic impact of refined canola oil transportation averaged over \$50 million for rail and \$5 million for truck. Total impacts were close to \$90 million and \$15 million respectively.
- **Refined oil:** Over 150 jobs were directly dependent on refined canola oil shipped by rail with 26 further jobs linked to trucking. The total employment impacts for these categories are almost 400 jobs and 62 jobs, respectively.
- **Refined oil:** The total wage impact of refined oil transportation averaged close to \$30 million for rail and around \$3 million for trucking.

- *Meal:* The total economic impact of meal transportation is nearly \$175 million for rail and over \$17 million for trucking.
- *Meal* transportation via rail and truck supports totals of close to 680 jobs and 80 jobs respectively.
- *Meal:* Collectively, the total wage impact of canola meal transportation is estimated at \$54 million.

**Crude oil:** We first determine the quantities of crude oil that will be exported from each crushing facility, and the likely point of export for these volumes. The likely point of export was determined based on the importing trade partner, with the majority of US shipments being made overland, shipments to Asia generally being channeled through BC ports, and western European and some of the Middle Eastern demand being fulfilled by shipments out of the St. Lawrence. Once tonne-miles were calculated, we then applied a range of rail rates similar to those used in seed transportation.

**Refined oil:** The economic impact of refined oil shipments was determined by first estimating how much oil would be moved out of each province and how much consumed provincially. Demand was estimated as a function of a given province's population.

- For demand that is met by production in a province, it was assumed that delivery was made by truck at a distance averaging 100 miles.
- For demand that is met by production outside of a province, or for any delivery to a port or the US border, it was assumed that delivery was made by rail.
- With tonne-miles determined, a rail or trucking rate was applied to determine expenditures.

**Meal:** Similarly, the calculations behind meal transportation began by determining how much meal is consumed within the province and how much is moved out of the province. To do this, meal demand in each province is based on a meal allocation by livestock species, reflecting the particular benefit of canola meal to dairy cattle, and the population of livestock in a given province.



After developing provincial-level supply/demand balances, the distances and modes of delivery were determined in the same manner as for refined oil, with local deliveries made by truck and long-distance deliveries made by rail.

For all by-product transportation, *employment* and *wage impact* were calculated in the same manner as for the transportation of seed.

## Impact at ports

Canada typically exports over 15 million tonnes of canola products annually, including seed, meal, crude oil, and refined oil. While the majority of meal exports take place overland to the US and Mexico, roughly ten million tonnes of canola products leave Canada via its ports. Although most overseas shipments of canola products leave Canada via ports in British Columbia, canola also represents a sizeable share of exports out of Ontario and Quebec ports. As the bulk of Canadian meal exports are overland to the US and Mexico, the impact of meal exports on Canadian ports is significantly smaller than for seed.

## Impact

In our model, we calculated the economic impact of canola products on Canadian ports separately for seed, meal, crude, and refined canola oil.

 The total effects of *canola seed* exports on Canadian ports are an economic impact of \$239 million, an employment impact of over 1,300 jobs, and a wage impact of \$86 million.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba S	askatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	5.7	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	192.6	207.7
ports - seed	0.0	5.6	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	144.3	159.0
ports - crude	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.1	25.3
ports - refined	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.3
ports - meal	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	21.2
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.1	8.5	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	289.5	312.2
ports - seed	0.0	8.4	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	216.8	239.0
ports - crude	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.8	38.0
ports - refined	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.4
ports - meal	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	31.8
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	24	150	0	0	0	800	974
ports - seed	0	23	149	0	0	0	599	772
ports - crude	0	0	0	0	0	0	104	105
ports - refined	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	9
ports - meal	0	0	1	0	0	0	87	88
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	40	259	0	0	0	1,374	1,674
ports - seed	0	40	257	0	0	0	1,030	1,326
ports - crude	0	0	1	0	0	0	179	180
ports - refined	0	1	0	0	0	0	15	16
ports - meal	0	0	1	0	0	0	150	151
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	1.7	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	70.9
ports - seed	0.0	1.7	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.6	56.1
ports - crude	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	7.7
ports - refined	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
ports - meal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	6.4
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	2.6	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.2	108.5
ports - seed	0.0	2.6	16.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	85.9
ports - crude	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.7	11.7
ports - refined	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
ports - meal	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	9.8

- Total effects of *meal* exports include an economic impact of \$32 million, an employment impact of over 150 jobs, and a wage impact of nearly \$10 million.
- Total effects of *crude canola oil* exports on Canadian ports meanwhile are estimated at an economic impact of \$38 million, an employment impact of 180 jobs, and a wage impact of \$12 million.
- **Refined canola oil** exports by Canada are small in comparison with crude oil exports and have a total economic impact estimated at \$3 million, with a total employment impact of around 16 jobs and wages estimated at roughly \$1 million.

The *economic impact* of canola products on Canadian ports is calculated as the product of volumes multiplied by port fees.

- Canadian seed volumes by port and direct prairie exports (overland) were obtained from data provided by the Canadian Grain Commission.
- Export volumes by port for meal, crude, and refined oils were obtained from Canadian trade data.

Port fees for canola seed were also obtained from the Canadian Grain Commission and are illustrated below.

The *employment impact* at the ports was based on canola products' share of total port movements, combined with an understanding of the total number of individuals employed at Canadian ports.

*Wages*, meanwhile, were based on a study detailing the economic impact of Vancouver ports and indexed against other wage changes over time: *www.portmetrovancouver.com* 



## **Diagram 24: Grain charges at Canadian ports**

## **Benefits to livestock sector**

The Canadian livestock sector benefits from the availability of canola meal in a number of ways:

- For *all livestock*, canola usually represents a lower cost protein alternative than soybean meal, even when adjusted for a lower protein content and an inferior set of amino acids for some species. This benefit per tonne has been reducing in recent years as canola and soybean meal prices edge closer to one another.
- For the *dairy sector*, canola provides an additional advantage because the amino acid profile of canola meal is superior to most other protein meals for milk yield. Canola meal has been shown to increase milk yields by one liter of milk per cow per day.

## Impact

For the livestock sector, we assume that the effects of canola consumption are confined to economic impact, i.e. no jobs or wages in the livestock sector are attributed to canola meal, given that there would likely be little difference in the size of the sector in a world with or without canola meal. Canola meal does, however, have significant positive implications for the value added in the Canadian livestock sector, most importantly in the dairy sector.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba S	askatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	8.1	54.5	56.1	7.2	4.5	14.5	13.0	157.9
dairy cost savings	1.6	10.2	10.6	1.2	0.8	2.7	2.5	29.5
poultry cost savings	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.7
hog cost savings	0.0	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.3	0.5	0.0	4.8
dairy yield boost	6.5	42.6	44.0	4.8	3.3	11.2	10.4	122.9
<i>Total economic impact (</i> C\$ million)	43.0	287.5	295.6	37.2	23.6	76.4	68.5	831.7
dairy cost savings	7.4	48.3	50.0	5.5	3.8	12.7	11.7	139.4
poultry cost savings	0.3	0.9	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5	3.4
hog cost savings	0.1	7.1	5.7	5.4	1.6	2.4	0.1	22.5
dairy yield boost	35.2	231.2	238.8	26.1	18.0	60.9	56.1	666.4

#### Table 26: Impact of canola meal, average 2016/17–2018/19

## Methodology

The *economic impact from canola meal cost savings* is calculated based on the price of canola meal relative to soybean meal, adjusted for useable protein. For the dairy sector, we assume canola meal provides 80% as much protein as soy on a per-weight basis. For hogs and poultry, the percentages were 75% and 70%, respectively, accounting for the digestibility issues of high fiber meals for monogastric animals. This generates the larger cost savings for dairy, and smaller savings for poultry (per tonne) shown in the diagrams below. The relative cost savings are then multiplied by the quantities of canola meal consumed by the livestock sector to determine the total savings derived from canola meal consumption.

The calculation for *economic impact from dairy yield boost* was made on the basis of research showing that cattle fed canola experience a yield boost averaging one liter per cow per day. To achieve this boost, we assume a cow needs to consume 2.7 kilograms of canola meal per day while lactating, and that a cow lactates for an average of 300 days per year.

The total number of cows being fed a "full" meal ration was determined using the annual canola meal consumption per-cow figures divided by the total amount of canola thought to go into the dairy sector (around 70% of the total).

Multiplying the number of canola-fed cows by 300 liters per year, multiplied by the Canadian wholesale milk price, gives us the economic value of the canola dairy boost.





## Adding value to canola oil in food end uses

The food processing sector adds value to canola after the refining stage by incorporating refined oil into industrial food applications. In this section, we explore some of the downstream benefits derived from canola products in a selection of end-use sectors — margarine, shortening and liquid oils for human consumption. The liquid oils category includes:

- Baking and frying uses
- Salad and cooking oils (but not where these are bottled & packed see below)

In this report, we have added another category to previous analysis of the canola value chain, which we have called "bottling/packing". *This category represents a sub-category of the salad and cooking oils category above*, and includes all the refined oils put into bottles/cans of various sizes for home and small-scale restaurant use, either as salad oils or for cooking. If this sub-category were not included, the bottled/packed oils would be included in this wider end-use section, as they represent part of the value added to refined oil in the liquid oils category. The bottling/packing sector value added and employment is, however, presented separately in the next section and is <u>not included in this food end uses section</u>: to include it would mean double-counting the bottling/packing values and employment.

This is the most difficult sector of the value chain to quantify accurately. While we include the estimates from food processing for end uses in our grand totals of the benefits to Canada from the canola industry, we remind readers that they do *not* represent an exhaustive assessment of the end-use benefits of canola.

The further processing of refined canola oil into food end uses is difficult to quantify due to the following factors:

- Ingredient use and product formulations of processed foods are sensitive information from the perspective of industrial food manufacturers.
- Branding and marketing add significant value to consumer products. This is the difference between <u>consumer</u> products at this stage of the chain and the <u>commodity</u> products at earlier stages. Branding and marketing make it very difficult to quantify the value that canola can claim in the further processing chain, as the large mark-ups are not attached solely to canola oil if canola were not available, many products could switch to an alternative oil, often without any price effect. The growing healthy oil market may be more closely associated with canola, but again the difficulty lies in stripping out the part of the large value-added in consumer and wholesale prices that is attributable to canola rather than branding and marketing.

## **Impact**

As an illustration of the scale of the potential value derived in the lucrative food processing sector, we present estimates of the value added to canola oil through processing into the food products of margarine, shortening, and liquid (cooking, baking & frying and salad) oils. We remind readers that this *does not include bottled/packed oils*, which are covered separately in the next section. Salad oils, for example, may be almost wholly contained in the bottling and packing sector.

- Among the three selected end products, canola oil demand for liquid oils accounts for over half of the aggregate volumes; hence, it generates the highest impact.
- Direct effects through processing canola oil into the three end products have created an economic impact of over \$800 million (without bottling/packing). Meanwhile, the direct employment impact is estimated at over 3,600 jobs, creating a direct wage impact averaging over \$200 million a year during the last three years.
- Total economic impact of end uses is estimated at \$1.65 billion. Total jobs created is approaching 10,000, with a total wage impact of almost \$500 million.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	27.9	105.0	175.7	87.8	241.0	141.6	27.7	806.7
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	66.3	235.6	384.3	151.9	483.5	261.9	65.8	1,649.1
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	161	551	887	282	1,050	524	160	3,615
Total employment (FTE jobs)	435	1,488	2,397	762	2,836	1,415	433	9,767
Direct wages (C\$ million)	10.2	33.5	52.9	12.5	57.9	26.0	10.1	203.1
Total wages (C\$ million)	24.7	81.2	128.3	30.2	140.2	63.1	24.5	492.2

#### Table 27: Impact of canola oil end uses, average 2016/17–2018/19

The *economic impact* of end uses is calculated based on the estimated volume of canola oil used in each of the products: margarine, shortening and liquid oils. We then apply the volume to estimates of unit import prices (as a proxy without branding/marketing mark ups) of the three end products to derive the direct economic impact.







The total number of people employed in the food end use sector attributable to canola was based on previous reports on the economic impacts of canola with trends applied to the present day and corroborated by industry interview estimates. Provincial level employment was then taken to be a function of provincial canola oil refining capacity and food manufacturing revenues.

One problem with including this analysis in the main value-added calculations is that <u>the</u> <u>quantification methodology employed here is necessarily less robust than in the rest of the</u> <u>analysis in this study</u>, because we cannot obtain firm data from the food processing sector, which regards such information as proprietary, nor from *Statistics Canada*, which does not report this level of detail in its *Canada Food Statistics* data. Taking these caveats into consideration, the analysis presented here should be taken as indicative.

We relied on *Canadian Oilseed Processors Association* data to inform our statistics on total refined canola oil disappearance in Canada. For the share of each type of oil used in margarine, shortening, and liquid/cooking/frying/salad oils, we relied on historical data from *Statistics Canada*. This is the only data available that offers a snapshot of the breakdown of these processed oils by type of oil of which we are aware.

To calculate the value of these processed products, we used the unit value export price of each of these products for export to the US, as reported by *Statistics Canada*.

## **Bottling and packing**

As we discuss above, bottling and packing represents a sub-sector within the end uses category. Bottling and packing can be defined in a number of ways, but for our purposes:

# • We consider only refined canola oil that is bottled and packed into bottles/cans for home and small scale restaurant use.

- We do not include oils that are transported in bulk, in shipping containers, or in drums. This rules out the use of canola oil in baking and frying industrial uses, such as for chips and snacks, and in some large scale cooking oils, such as quick-serve restaurants. These are included in the wider end-use category above.
- Neither does the bottling/packing sector here include the packing of canola oil-based products other than bottled oils. We do not, for example, include packing of margarine or shortenings —these are again included in the wider end use category above. In terms of packing, therefore, we include only the packing of bottled oils.

Overall, to place the bottling/packing sub-sector in perspective, we estimate it accounts for close to **10% of all Canadian refined oil consumed domestically** each year. This leaves around 90% of domestic use of refined canola oil for the wider end-use category described above.

## **Impact**

- Direct effects of bottling/packing refined canola oil create a direct economic impact of almost \$115 million a year. The direct employment impact is estimated at over 400 jobs, creating an annual direct wage impact of \$25 million during the period from 2016/17 to 2018/19.
- Total economic impact of bottling/packing is estimated at almost \$270 million a year. Total jobs created stood at nearly 1,100 jobs with a total wage impact of over \$60 million.

	Maritimes	Quebec	Ontario	Manitoba S	Saskatchewan	Alberta	British Colombia	Canada
Direct economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	5.9	14.0	23.8	37.5	31.4	0.0	112.6
Total economic impact (C\$ million)	0.0	14.0	33.3	56.6	89.2	74.6	0.0	267.6
Direct employment (FTE jobs)	0	21	50	85	134	112	0	402
Total employment (FTE jobs)	0	57	135	229	362	303	0	1,085
Direct wages (C\$ million)	0.0	1.3	3.2	5.4	8.5	7.1	0.0	25.4
Total wages (C\$ million)	0.0	3.2	7.7	13.0	20.5	17.2	0.0	61.5

#### Table 28: Impact of canola oil bottling & packing, average 2016/17–2018/19

## Methodology

For calculating the *economic impact*, we assume, based on industry interviews, that around 10% of all Canadian refined oil used domestically is utilized in the bottling/packing sector. This is distributed across the provinces proportionately according to refined oil output in each in province.



For prices, we assume the value added on all bottled oil reflects the difference between the US unit import values for refined oil in bulk vs. bottled oils (containing less than 5% soybean oil).

The *jobs impact* is calculated in the same proportions as the economic impact, meaning around 10% of total end use jobs are found in the bottling/packing sector, with the same provincial distribution.